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Abstract

Objectives: Given the importance and prevalence of depression among women, this study aimed to test the correlation model between socio-economic status, anxiety, perceived stress, social support and women’s depression in reproductive age using path analysis.

Materials and Methods: This analytical cross-sectional study was conducted on 1065 women of reproductive age selected randomly from clinics affiliated to Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Data were collected using demographic and socio-economic status questionnaires, the perceived stress and the social support scales, Spielberger’s Anxiety Inventory and Beck’s Depression Inventory. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 19.0 and LISREL version 8.8.

Results: The final path model fitted well (GFI=1; RMSEA=0.09) and showed that socioeconomic status had direct (β=−0.22) and indirect effect (β=−0.0645), perceived stress had direct effect (β=0.22), social support had direct (β=−0.21) and indirect effect (β=−0.033), anxiety had direct effect (β=0.18) on depression, and overall, socioeconomic status had the greatest effects on depression (β=−0.2845).

Conclusions: According to the obtained results, screening for the examined variables is recommended to prevent and diagnose depression and promote health in women.
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Introduction

Depression is one of the most common mental health disorders. There are currently 300 million people worldwide affected by this disease while half of them are not receiving any treatment. Depression is anticipated to become the second leading cause of disabilities in the world by 2020 (1-3). Depressed people have a lower quality of life (4). Depression causes deterioration in interpersonal relationships (5). Previous studies have shown that depression is associated with gender, age, marital status, and other demographic factors. Women are 2 to 3 times at higher risk of developing depression than men (6-8). The lifetime risk of depression is 5% to 10% among men and 10% to 25% among women (9).

Many factors from puberty to menopause, e.g. genetic predisposition, hormonal fluctuations, side effects of delivery, environmental stressors, differences between psychological and social pressures and learned behavior patterns related to distress, and women’s reactions to stress, might be involved in the depression in women (10-12). History of child abuse, being single, widowed, or divorced, having more than 3 children, smoking, alcohol and drug abuse, poverty, lower age, undesirable employment status, low education, and stressors (such as conflicts and disputes with the spouse) are correlated with women’s depression (13).

Stress is a key factor in many psychosocial problems and has a permanent presence in all aspects of life in varying degrees. Physiological changes caused by stress can disrupt activities of the body system, and thus pave the way for physical and psychological diseases (14,15). The incidence of depression is associated with individuals’ perceived stress. Furthermore, stress has a major role in progress and exacerbation of depression and anxiety disorders (16).

Based on recent evidence, mental health is related to low social support (17). Senturk et al in 2011 found that women with high depression had lower social support (18). Moreover, studies on social support have confirmed positive and beneficial effects of close interpersonal relationships (19). Social support has a significant effect on the quality of life (20) and can alleviate the harmful effects of stressors on the immune system (21). In fact, a person’s reaction to stress may be less severe in the
The present study used the Theoretical Path Model for the effects of Socio-economic Status, Anxiety, Perceived Stress, Social Support on Women's Depression.

Figure 1. The Theoretical Path Model for the effects of Socio-economic Status, Anxiety, Perceived Stress, Social Support on Women's Depression

Materials and Methods

This analytical cross-sectional study was conducted on 1065 women who attended health centers affiliated to Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran during 2014. After being approved by the Ethics Committee of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation University, a list of the mentioned clinics in various regions of the city was prepared. Some centers were then randomly selected from each region and the sample size was determined based on the population of each center. The participants were provided with details about the study objectives and asked to sign an informed consent form. 18-35-year-old Iranian women who had no medical illness and no history of mental disorders (reported by themselves and their family members) were recruited.

The data collection tools used included:

Demographic information questionnaire and the Socioeconomic Inventory:
The demographic information questionnaire used was researcher-designed and 10 faculty members confirmed its face and content validity. It included such demographic factors as the woman's and her husband's age, the woman's and her husband's level of education, the woman's employment status and so on. The socioeconomic status of the subjects was assessed using the Socio-economic Inventory designed by Garmaroudi et al in 2010 (29), with components including the subject's level of education, the spouse's level of education, ratio of home area to household size, price of the home per square meter, facilities and amenities (such as car and computer ownership) and family income. The correlation between these factors and the total score obtained in the inventory has been reported to be 0.87, and the test-retest reliability has been determined to be 0.96. The inventory provides a cut-off point of 16 for differentiating between favorable and unfavorable socioeconomic status. The maximum obtainable score is 48.

Spielberger's Anxiety Inventory

The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) is an introspective psychological inventory consisting of 40 self-report items pertaining to anxiety. The anxiety scores obtained in this inventory range from a minimum of 20 to a maximum of 80, with scores of 20-40 indicating mild anxiety, 41-60 indicating moderate anxiety and 61-80 indicating severe anxiety. Numerous studies have determined the validity and reliability of inventory for measuring anxiety (30-32). The reliability of this inventory has been examined in 2 studies in Iran; one study conducted in Tehran calculated it as 0.91(33), and another study conducted in Mashhad calculated it as 0.95 (34). The present study calculated the test-retest reliability of the inventory as 0.94.

Cohen's Perceived Stress Scale

Cohen's scale for the assessment of perceived stress is developed to measure perceived stress in the preceding month (35) and is widely used in different countries. It has been translated into different languages and standardized for use in different cultures. The present study used the 14-item version of the scale. The score obtained in this scale varies between 0 and 56 and higher scores indicate a higher degree of perceived stress. No cut-off points have been specified for this scale. Bastani et al in 2008...
determined the reliability of the Persian version of the scale through measuring its internal consistency and calculated its Cronbach’s alpha as 0.74 (36). Other studies using this scale in Iran have calculated its Cronbach’s alpha as 0.84-0.86 (37-39). The present study calculated the reliability (internal consistency) of the scale as 0.88 and its test-retest reliability as 0.92.

Perceived Social Support Inventory

The Perceived Social Support Inventory was designed by Sarason et al in 1983 (40) and was translated into Persian by Nasseh et al. The validity and reliability of the inventory were measured and its internal consistency was confirmed with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.95 (41). Other studies conducted in Iran have calculated the reliability of the inventory as 0.86-0.89 (38, 42). This inventory has also been used in studies conducted in other countries (43,44). The minimum and maximum scores that can be obtained in this inventory are 12 and 84, respectively. The present study calculated the reliability (internal consistency) of the scale as 0.89 and its test-retest reliability as 0.92.

Beck’s Depression Inventory

Beck’s Depression Inventory has 21 items with a score ranging from 0 to 63. Different studies have confirmed the reliability of this inventory (45,46). It has also been standardized for use in Iran, the internal consistency of the inventory was confirmed for use in Iran with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.87 and its reliability was then calculated to be 0.74 (47). The present study calculated the test-retest reliability of the inventory as 0.92.

Statistical Analysis

The model was tested through LISREL version 8.8 for the path analysis and SPSS version 19.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) using the Mann-Whitney test, the chi-square test and the independent t test at a significance level of 0.05.

Table 1. Comparison of the Demographic Characteristics in Depressed and Non-depressed Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depressed women, n=508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-depressed women, n=557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>28.74±4.52</td>
<td>28.52±4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband’s age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>32.19±4.42</td>
<td>32.48±4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s education, No. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>55 (10.9)</td>
<td>48 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>296 (58.3)</td>
<td>301 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>142 (27.9)</td>
<td>183 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>15 (2.9)</td>
<td>25 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband’s education, No. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>47 (9.3)</td>
<td>49 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>280 (55.1)</td>
<td>282 (50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>164 (32.3)</td>
<td>187 (33.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>17 (3.3)</td>
<td>39 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed (Housewife)</td>
<td>471 (92.7)</td>
<td>515 (92.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>37 (7.3)</td>
<td>42 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

There was no significant difference in the mean age between depressed and non-depressed women. However, the 2 groups had significant differences in terms of women’s and their husbands’ education levels (Table 1). Different levels of depression (mild to severe) were found in 47.69% of the studied women. The participants’ mean stress score was 24.23±7.92. Most participants (44.2%) enjoyed moderate levels of social support. The mean scores of spousal support, family support, and support from friends were 24.62±5.49, 23.70±5.65, and 18.66±7.55, respectively. The majority of the women (72%) had favorable economic conditions. However, 74% of the participants had moderate to severe anxiety (Table 2). Correlation between socio-economic status, anxiety, perceived stress, social support and women’ depression is shown in Table 3.

Table 4 presents direct, indirect and the overall effects of the parameters mentioned on depression. The indices GFI, CFI, and RMSEA were used to investigate the model fitness (Table 5). According Figure 2 the final path model fitted well.

Discussion

Results showed that the proposed model has a good fit. Our findings showed that socioeconomic and social support had both direct and indirect relationships with depression. Moreover, perceived stress and anxiety were directly correlated with depression in women. Socioeconomic status had the greatest effect on depression.

In this study, 47.69% of women suffered from mild to severe depression. Previous studies in Iran reported the rate of depression as 21.5% to 54.7% (48,49). Aeenparast et al reported the prevalence of severe and very severe depression as 8.3% (50). Ahmadvand et al estimated this rate as 13.5% (51). Differences in sample size and instruments used to assess depression might have been responsible for the different rates reported by previous
Table 2. Mean ± SD, Minimum and Maximum Scores of Socio-economic Status, Anxiety, Perceived Stress, Social Support and Women’s Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min value</th>
<th>Max value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic status</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>44.65</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress</td>
<td>24.23</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived social support</td>
<td>60.58</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Correlation Between Socio-economic Status, Anxiety, Perceived Stress, Social Support and Women’s Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Perceived Stress</th>
<th>Perceived Social Support</th>
<th>Depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic status</td>
<td>-0.146**</td>
<td>-0.096**</td>
<td>0.184**</td>
<td>-0.249**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.090**</td>
<td>0.181**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.105**</td>
<td>0.184**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived social support</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.315**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 4. Path Coefficients for Socioeconomic Status, Anxiety, Perceived Stress, Social Support and Women’s Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Effect (Standardized β)</th>
<th>T Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>-0.22 -0.06455 -0.28455</td>
<td>-6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0.18 -0.18</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Stress</td>
<td>0.22 -0.22</td>
<td>6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Social support</td>
<td>-0.21 -0.033 -0.243</td>
<td>6.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Goodness of Fit Indices for the Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>NFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, socioeconomic status affected depression both directly and indirectly (through its effects on social support and perceived stress).

Miech and Shanahan found depression to be correlated with social support, income level, and socioeconomic status (52). Kosidou et al indicated that income level was correlated with the incidence of depression among women (53). Women with low economic status were 3.57 times more likely to develop depression (54). Aenparast et al reported relationships between depression and women’s employment status and educational level, as indicators of economic status, i.e. depression was more prevalent among working women (50). Women with lower income have to deal with greater levels of stress, which can be a predictor of mental health status (55).

In our study, social support was directly correlated with depression. Tomczak-Witych observed a significant difference in received social support between depressed and non-depressed women (56). However, Ezzati et al confirmed a relationship between family support and the incidence of depression and failed to find any relationships between other types of support and depression (57).

Researchers have focused on 2 processes in their efforts to determine the effects of social support on health. The first process involves the direct effect of social support on health and indicates that the presence of support or its absence (e.g. in cases of social isolation) directly affects people’s health. The second process acts through what is called the “moderating effect”. It suggests that rather than exerting direct effects on health, social support moderates the effects of acute and chronic stresses on human health. It has long been known that dealing with various stressors, such as stressful events, may threaten the health of some (not all) individuals. It is thus assumed that the causal effect of life events on the incidence of diseases is moderated by supportive factors such as social support (58).
In this study, stress and anxiety were directly correlated with depression. Two major physiological processes occur when a person faces stressors, such as needs and threats. First, the autonomic nervous system is activated and catecholamine, especially norepinephrine and epinephrine, are released. During the second process, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is activated through the release of the corticotrophin-releasing hormone, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), and cortisol. These 2 mechanisms cause not only physiological responses, but also changes in behavior, e.g. loss of appetite, reduced sexual activity, and increased levels of depression, anxiety, and irritability (63,64).

According to Kader Maiden et al, women exposed to high levels of stress were 4.9 times more at risk of depression (65). Severe and prolonged stress can affect a person’s ability to adapt, cause physical damage to the body, destroy the joy of life, and cause depression (66). Mehnert et al reported a relationship between anxiety and depression (67). Hammen also confirmed a relationship between depression and anxiety (68).

Conclusions
Based on our findings, depression had significant relationships with factors such as socioeconomic status, social support, stress, and anxiety. Since depression is a major mental health issue, especially among women, screening for early diagnosis and treatment of the condition seems necessary.
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